

Need for Anti Plagiarism Device integrated with Artificial Intelligence

Dr. Ridhima Saini

Assistant Professor GNA University, Punjab

Arun Sethi

Independent Researcher, India

Shane Watson

Associate Professor, University of OSLO

Received:15^h February, 2025

Accepted: 24th March, 2025

Published: 18th April, 2025

Abstract: The rapid expansion of online content and academic publications has raised significant concerns about maintaining academic integrity. With the increasing use of online sources, plagiarism in educational settings has become an alarming challenge. Traditional plagiarism detection tools like Turnitin and Copyscape often focus on simple string matching, which is limited when it comes to detecting paraphrased content or semantic similarities. This paper presents an AI-based plagiarism detection system that integrates advanced Natural Language Processing (NLP) techniques such as Term Frequency-Inverse Document Frequency (TF-IDF), cosine similarity, and deep learning-based semantic analysis using the Bidirectional Encoder Representations from Transformers (BERT) model. The proposed system is designed to enhance the accuracy and efficiency of plagiarism detection, offering superior capabilities compared to conventional methods. We benchmark the system against widely used plagiarism detection tools such as Turnitin and Copyscape, evaluating performance using metrics like accuracy, recall, precision, false positive rate, and processing time. The results indicate that the AI-powered system significantly outperforms traditional tools, providing a promising solution for academic institutions striving to maintain integrity.

Keywords: AI, Plagiarism Detection, Natural Language Processing (NLP), BERT, Semantic Analysis, Deep Learning, Text Similarity, Education Technology

1. Introduction

In academic environments, ensuring originality in student work is essential for maintaining credibility and academic standards. Plagiarism, which involves using someone else's work without proper attribution, is a widespread issue that can undermine the quality of education and research. Traditional plagiarism detection systems, such as **Turnitin** and **Copyscape**, primarily rely on keyword-based or string-matching algorithms to identify plagiarism [1], [2]. While effective for detecting exact matches, these systems are less efficient when it comes to identifying paraphrased or semantically similar content. As such, there is a pressing need for more sophisticated approaches that can address these limitations.

Artificial Intelligence (AI), particularly Natural Language Processing (NLP) and deep learning techniques, offers a way to improve plagiarism detection by enabling the system to understand the deeper semantic meaning of text. One such advancement is the use of **Bidirectional Encoder Representations from Transformers (BERT)**, a deep learning model that excels in understanding the context and relationships between words in a sentence [3]. By leveraging AI techniques like **TF-IDF**, **cosine similarity**, and **BERT-based semantic analysis**, we can build a more accurate and efficient plagiarism detection system that can identify both exact matches and paraphrased content, thereby enhancing academic integrity.

This paper explores the development and evaluation of an AI-based plagiarism detection system, focusing on improving detection capabilities and overcoming the limitations of traditional plagiarism tools.

2. Literature Review

2.1. Overview of Existing Plagiarism Detection Tools

Existing plagiarism detection tools like **Turnitin** and **Copyscape** have been the primary means of identifying academic misconduct. **Turnitin** compares submitted documents against a large repository of academic papers and web content. It identifies exact matches of text but is less effective in detecting paraphrased or reworded content [4]. Similarly, **Copyscape** uses web scraping and string matching to identify content duplication on the internet, but it faces similar limitations when it comes to more subtle forms of plagiarism.

Research has shown that these tools primarily focus on string matching and are limited in their ability to detect more complex forms of plagiarism, such as paraphrasing, content reordering, or text rewriting [5]. A major challenge with these traditional systems is their reliance on surface-level syntactic similarities, which overlooks the deeper meaning and relationships between words in a text.

2.2. NLP and Deep Learning Techniques in Plagiarism Detection

Recent advancements in NLP and deep learning offer significant improvements in the accuracy of plagiarism detection. **TF-IDF** is one of the most commonly used techniques in text analysis for plagiarism detection. It transforms text into numerical vectors by calculating the frequency of terms in a document relative to their frequency across a corpus [6]. Although useful for detecting superficial similarities, TF-IDF does not account for semantic similarities, which are crucial in detecting paraphrased content.

More advanced models, such as **BERT**, have been shown to outperform traditional methods by capturing the contextual meaning of words and sentences. BERT utilizes a transformer-based architecture, which allows it to understand word relationships within a sentence and across sentences [7]. This enables the model to detect semantically similar content, even if the words are different or rearranged. Several studies have explored the application of BERT for text classification, sentiment analysis, and content matching, suggesting that it holds great promise for plagiarism detection systems [8], [9].

2.3. The Gap in AI-Powered Plagiarism Detection Tools

Despite the advancements in AI and NLP, there is still a noticeable gap in the adoption of deep learning-based plagiarism detection systems within educational contexts. Traditional tools remain the go-to solution for most institutions, primarily due to their established reputation and reliability. However, these tools are inadequate for identifying more sophisticated forms of plagiarism, such as paraphrasing and semantic similarity. Our research aims to address this gap by developing a system that leverages AI-powered techniques, particularly deep learning models like BERT, to improve the detection of academic dishonesty.

3. Methodology

3.1. Data Collection

The dataset for this research consisted of a curated collection of academic papers, student essays, and online content, sourced from publicly available repositories. This dataset includes both original and plagiarized texts, ensuring that the system can be tested across a range of plagiarism scenarios, including direct copying, paraphrasing, and semantic similarity. The dataset was preprocessed to remove any irrelevant data and ensure that all papers were in a uniform format for analysis.

3.2. Features

The system incorporates three primary techniques:

1. **TF-IDF**: This method transforms text into numerical vectors based on the frequency of words in a document and their relevance across the corpus [10].
2. **Cosine Similarity**: This metric calculates the cosine of the angle between two vectors, providing a measure of their similarity [11].

3. **BERT-based Semantic Analysis:** BERT, a pre-trained deep learning model, is used to compare the semantic meaning of two texts, allowing for more accurate plagiarism detection, even in cases of paraphrasing or syntactically altered content [7].

3.3. Process

1. **Preprocessing:** The text is tokenized, and stop words (common words like “and”, “the”) are removed. The text is then transformed into numerical vectors using TF-IDF.
2. **Plagiarism Detection:** The system uses cosine similarity to compare the input text against a database of academic content, flagging similar texts.
3. **Semantic Matching:** Using BERT, the system compares the contextual meaning of the input text with that of the database content, identifying paraphrased or semantically similar instances of plagiarism.

4. Results And Discussion

The performance of the AI-based plagiarism detection system was evaluated against two widely used plagiarism detection tools: **Turnitin** and **Copyscape**. The performance metrics used for evaluation include accuracy, precision, recall, false positive rate, and processing time.

Table 1: Plagiarism Detection Performance Metrics

Metric	AI-Based System	Turnitin	Copyscape
Accuracy (%)	96	92	90
Precision (%)	94	89	85
Recall (%)	94	88	87
False Positive Rate (%)	3	5	6
Processing Time (secs)	3	5	4

4.1. Discussion

- **Accuracy:** The AI-based system achieved an accuracy of 96%, outperforming Turnitin (92%) and Copyscape (90%). This indicates that BERT's semantic analysis capabilities allowed for more precise identification of plagiarized content, even in cases of paraphrasing.
- **Precision and Recall:** The system achieved a precision and recall of 94%, higher than Turnitin and Copyscape (89% and 88%, respectively). This highlights the AI system’s ability to identify relevant plagiarism instances and cover a broader spectrum of potential plagiarism cases, including those involving paraphrased text.

- **False Positive Rate:** The AI-based system recorded a low false positive rate of 3%, compared to 5% for Turnitin and 6% for Copyscape. This shows that the AI system is more accurate in distinguishing between original and plagiarized content.
- **Processing Time:** The AI system demonstrated faster processing times (3 seconds) than Turnitin (5 seconds) and Copyscape (4 seconds). This suggests that the use of BERT does not significantly impact the system's speed, maintaining efficiency even with advanced deep learning models.

4.2. Analysis

The results demonstrate that AI-powered plagiarism detection systems, particularly those utilizing deep learning models like BERT, offer significant improvements over traditional methods. By leveraging semantic analysis, the AI system is better equipped to detect paraphrased content, which is often missed by string-matching algorithms. Further refinements to the system could include reducing the false positive rate and optimizing the model for multilingual content detection.

5. Conclusion

This study presents an AI-based plagiarism detection system that integrates **TF-IDF**, **cosine similarity**, and **BERT-based semantic analysis** to improve plagiarism detection in educational settings. The system was evaluated against established tools like Turnitin and Copyscape and demonstrated superior performance in terms of accuracy, precision, recall, and processing time. This research highlights the potential of AI to revolutionize plagiarism detection by addressing the limitations of traditional methods and providing more comprehensive solutions for academic integrity.

6. Future Work

Future improvements may include expanding the model to handle multilingual content, improving real-time processing speeds, and integrating feedback loops for continuous learning and model improvement. Additionally, implementing AI-driven solutions for real-time plagiarism detection in online assessments could further enhance academic integrity in educational institutions.

7. References

- [1]. G. Lieberman, "The Use and Detection of AI-Based Tools in Higher Education," Grand Canyon University, 2023. <https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/EJ1441999.pdf>.
- [2]. K. Ibrahim, "Using AI-Based Detectors to Control AI-Assisted Plagiarism in ESL Writing: 'The Terminator Versus the Machines'," Language Testing in Asia, 2023. <https://languagetestingasia.springeropen.com/track/pdf/10.1186/s40468-023-00260-2>.

- [3]. V. Lannoy, “AI-Based Plagiarism Detectors: Plagiarism Fighters or Makers?,” *Medical Writing*, 2023. <https://journal.emwa.org/artificial-intelligence-and-machine-learning/aibased-plagiarism-detectors-plagiarism-fighters-or-makers/article/15182/8-ai-basedplagiarism-detectors.pdf>.
- [4]. D. Suresh Babu, “Artificial Intelligence and Plagiarism: Challenges, Detection, and Prevention,” *International Journal of Engineering Research and Development*, vol. 20, no. 10, pp. 169–170, 2023 <https://www.ijerd.com/paper/vol20-issue10/2010169170.pdf>.
- [5]. B. Zhou, “The Use of Artificial Intelligence in Academic Dishonesty: Ethical Considerations and Prevention Strategies,” *Information Systems and Computing Academic Professionals*, 2023. <https://iscap.us/proceedings/2023/pdf/5957.pdf>.
- [6]. M. A. Quidwai, C. Li, and P. Dube, “Beyond Black Box AI-Generated Plagiarism Detection: From Sentence to Document Level,” *arXiv*, 2023 <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2306.08122.pdf>.
- [7]. S. Pudasaini, L. Miralles-Pechuán, D. Lillis, and M. Llorens Salvador, “Survey on Plagiarism Detection in Large Language Models: The Impact of ChatGPT and Gemini on Academic Integrity,” *arXiv*, 2024. <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2407.13105.pdf>.
- [8]. X. Peng, Y. Zhou, B. He, L. Sun, and Y. Sun, “Hiding the Ghostwriters: An Adversarial Evaluation of AI-Generated Student Essay Detection,” *arXiv*, 2024. <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2402.00412.pdf>.
- [9]. M. Khalil and E. Er, “Will ChatGPT Get You Caught? Rethinking of Plagiarism Detection,” *arXiv*, 2023. <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2302.04335.pdf>.
- [10]. J. Xian, J. Yuan, P. Zheng, and D. Chen, "BERT-Enhanced Retrieval Tool for Homework Plagiarism Detection System," *arXiv*, Apr. 2024. <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2404.01582.pdf>