

**Sustainable Development Through Jain Philosophy:
Integrating Aparigraha And Alpechha With The Sustainable Development Goals (Sdgs)**

Prof. Smit U Shah¹

Research Scholar

GLS University

Dr. Bimal Solanki²

Assistant Professor,

Faculty of Commerce, GLS University,

Received: 10th May, 2026

Accepted: 12th June, 2026

Published: 16th August, 2026

<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.22199106>

Abstract

Development paradigms must be reoriented beyond technocentric and growth-driven frameworks in light of the global issue of ecological degradation, growing inequality, and unsustainable consumption patterns. This study examines how two fundamental tenets of Jain philosophy—Aparigraha (non-possessiveness) and Alpechha (minimum desires/wants)—offer a strong moral and useful framework for achieving the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) of the United Nations in 2030. The paper suggests a conceptual fusion of traditional Jain knowledge with modern environmental governance, drawing on Lord Mahavira's teachings, Jain cosmological ethics, and comparative worldwide practices.

This study finds important similarities between the SDG framework's demands for responsible consumption (SDG 12), climate action (SDG 13), reduced inequality (SDG 10), and zero poverty (SDG 1) and the Jain ethical imperatives of restraint and sufficiency. It does this by using a qualitative methodology that involves semi-structured interviews with Jain scholars, sustainability practitioners, community leaders, and policymakers in India, the US, and the UK. The practical application of these concepts is further demonstrated by case studies from Jain communities in Gujarat (India), Jain-influenced businesses, the Gandhian trusteeship model, and Thailand's Sufficiency Economy Philosophy (SEP).

Additionally, the article suggests measurement frameworks for Aparigraha (using asset declaration frameworks, philanthropic outflow ratios, and ESG-integrated non-greed indicators) and



Alpechha (using consumption indices, sufficiency satisfaction ratings, and ecological footprint tools). The results indicate that integrating Jain ethical principles into corporate governance, individual practice, and sustainable development policy can greatly speed up the achievement of SDGs while providing a spiritually and culturally grounded substitute for materialist development models.

Keywords: *Jain Philosophy, Aparigraha, Alpechha, Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), Minimalism, Non-Possessiveness, Lord Mahavira, Sustainability Ethics, Responsible Consumption, Ecological Philosophy, Sufficiency Economy, Global Developmen*

1. Introduction

The twenty-first century has been characterized by a paradox: rapid ecological degradation, growing social inequality, and a crisis of meaning have all coexisted with unheard-of economic prosperity. Sustainable development is defined as "development that meets the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs" by the Brundtland Commission in 1987. This definition is still as ambitious as it is unmet (World Commission on Environment and Development [WCED], 1987). Even though 193 UN members adopted the 2030 Agenda's 17 Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) in 2015, progress has been startlingly inconsistent. Only 15% of SDG targets are on track, according to UN reports from 2023, with the climate, biodiversity, and inequality goals being especially vulnerable.

A philosophical issue is at the core of this developmental failure: the prevailing economic paradigm prioritizes consumption, unrestricted expansion, and wealth accumulation over ecological balance, sufficiency, and restraint. A system powered by exponential material expansion on a finite planet is intrinsically unsustainable, as Meadows et al. (1972) foresaw in *The Limits to Growth*. In *Small is Beautiful*, Schumacher (1973) presented a remedial perspective, contending that economic systems need to be reoriented toward human-scale sufficiency—a notion that strikes a deep chord with traditional philosophical traditions.

Among these traditions, Jainism—one of the oldest philosophical systems still in existence—offers an incredibly complex ethical framework for sustainable living. It originated in the Indus Valley and was formalized by Lord Mahavira, the 24th Tirthankara (599–527 BCE). Aparigraha (non-possessiveness or non-hoarding) and Alpechha (minimum wishes or wants) are two ideas in particular that are quite pertinent to the discussion of sustainability today. When taken as a whole, these ideas suggest a way



of living that is marked by self-control, contentment with one's own resources, and respect for all living things. These traits precisely correspond to the moral underpinnings needed to accomplish the SDGs.

The systematic integration of Jain ethical concepts with the SDG framework is still understudied, despite the increasing scholarly interest in religion and sustainable development. While individual academics like Chapple (2006), Kumar (2001), and Singhvi (2002) have emphasized the ecological aspects of Jain philosophy, and global movements like Thailand's Sufficiency Economy Philosophy (SEP) and the Gandhian trusteeship model have drawn on similar ideas, no thorough academic study has mapped the complete alignment between Aparigraha, Alpechha, and the 17 SDGs or suggested empirically supported measurement frameworks for these concepts.

This gap is filled in this paper. It aims to: (1) theoretically establish the conceptual alignment between Jain ethical principles and the SDG framework; (2) investigate this alignment with a variety of stakeholders through qualitative interview-based research; (3) look at case studies and practices from around the world; and (4) suggest measurement frameworks for Alpechha and Aparigraha that are managerial and policy-oriented. In doing so, this essay makes the case that Jain philosophy is a living, practical philosophy of sustainability for the twenty-first century rather than just a historical or spiritual curiosity.

2. Conceptual Framework

2a. The Concept of Sustainable Development

Sustainable development is a multifaceted idea that unifies environmental preservation, social justice, and economic expansion into a single developmental goal. Although the standard definition was given in the *Brundtland Report* (WCED, 1987), the idea has subsequently changed significantly. By defining people, earth, and profit as the three pillars of corporate responsibility, Elkington's (1994) "triple bottom line" concept operationalized sustainability in business contexts. More recently, sustainable development has been characterized as the area between an ecological ceiling (planetary boundaries as described by Rockström et al., 2009) and a social foundation (minimum criteria for human well-being) according to Kate Raworth's Doughnut Economics model (2017).

Therefore, sustainable development is about the quality, equality, and ecological wisdom of development rather than being ideologically pro or anti-growth. It necessitates a reevaluation of what development is, whether it is determined by GDP or well-being, resource consumption or sufficiency, individual wealth increase or social flourishing. The most important ideas from Jain philosophy come from this re-examination.



2b. Why Sustainable Development is Required

There is no denying the empirical urgency of sustainable development. Global surface temperatures have already increased by 1.1°C over pre-industrial levels, according to the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC, 2022), and catastrophic effects are predicted if they climb by more than 1.5°C. Monitored wildlife populations have decreased by 69% on average since 1970, according to the Living Planet Report (WWF, 2022). The richest 1% of people hold more wealth than the bottom 95% put together, according to Oxfam (2023), exposing structural disparities that threaten democratic government and social cohesion.

The idea of limitless desires, which promotes excessive consumerism, resource extraction, and the commercialization of nature, is the common cause of these catastrophes. The existential requirement for sustainable growth is civilizational as well as environmental. No technocratic solution will be adequate until there is a fundamental shift in values away from greed and toward sufficiency. An economy of permanence must be based on service and moderation rather than accumulation, according to Indian economist and philosopher J.C. Kumarappa (1945). This realization foreshadows the most pressing needs of modern sustainability research and is based on Gandhian and Jain principles.

2c. Meaning and Objectives of the SDGs

The most ambitious and all-encompassing global development framework ever created is represented by the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), which were approved by the UN General Assembly on September 25, 2015, through Resolution 70/1 (Transforming Our World: The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development). The SDGs cover the entire range of human and planetary well-being across economic, social, environmental, and governance dimensions. They consist of 17 objectives, 169 targets, and 231 distinct indicators (UN, 2015).

The 17 SDGs cover: No Poverty (SDG 1), Zero Hunger (SDG 2), Good Health and Well-Being (SDG 3), Quality Education (SDG 4), Gender Equality (SDG 5), Clean Water and Sanitation (SDG 6), Affordable and Clean Energy (SDG 7), Decent Work and Economic Growth (SDG 8), Industry, Innovation and Infrastructure (SDG 9), Reduced Inequalities (SDG 10), Sustainable Cities and Communities (SDG 11), Responsible Consumption and Production (SDG 12), Climate Action (SDG 13), Life Below Water (SDG 14), Life on Land (SDG 15), Peace, Justice and Strong Institutions (SDG 16), and Partnerships for the Goals (SDG 17).

The SDGs' main goals are to end extreme poverty and hunger, guarantee that everyone has access to high-quality healthcare, education, and clean water, attain gender equality, encourage inclusive economic growth, combat climate change and environmental degradation, and create societies that are just, peaceful, and inclusive. Sachs (2015) highlights that the SDGs necessitate a shift in values, ethics, and governance in addition to policy and technology. This remark opens the door to philosophical traditions like Jainism.



2d. Theory of Lord Mahavira

The 24th Tirthankara of Jainism, Lord Mahavira (Vardhamana Mahavira, 599–527 BCE), is recognized as the revivalist and systematizer of Jain philosophy. Mahavira, who was born into a Kshatriya household in Vaishali (modern-day Bihar, India), gave up the world at the age of thirty, achieved Kevala Jnana (omniscience) at the age of forty-two after twelve years of extreme asceticism, and dedicated the rest of his life to spreading the road of liberation (Jaini, 1979). Jainism's intellectual foundation is made up of his teachings, which were collected in the Agamas and condensed by Umasvati in the Tattvartha Sutra (c. 2nd century CE).

Ahimsa (non-violence), Satya (truthfulness), Asteya (non-stealing), Brahmacharya (celibacy/chastity), Alpecchha (minimalism), Anekantvad (pluralism) and Aparigraha (non-possessiveness) are the seven cardinal vows that form the foundation of Mahavira's ethical system (Mahavratas for ascetics; Anuvratas for laypeople). These commitments are more than just spiritual practices; they form an all-encompassing ontology of moral interactions with the universe, including with other people, the truth, material possessions, and one's own wants. The consciousness (Jiva) found in all living forms, from one-sensed organisms (plants, bacteria) to five-sensed beings (people, animals), is what defines the Jain universe. Possession beyond necessity is a type of symbolic violence against the world's finite resources; harm to any Jiva is violence (Dundas, 2002).

A philosophical approach to modern sustainability governance is provided by Mahavira's focus on the doctrine of Anekantavada (many-sidedness of truth), which acknowledges that complicated issues like poverty, inequality, and climate change call for multifaceted, pluralistic viewpoints that defy dogmatic single-solution frameworks (Shah, 2004).

2e. Meaning of Aparigraha

Aparigraha is derived from the prefixes "a" (non/without), "pari" (all around), and "graha" (grab, seize, possessive). It literally translates to "non-possessiveness" or "non-grasping from all sides." Aparigraha is the fifth of the five great vows in Jain philosophy, and it may have the greatest social impact. It advises against acquiring more material belongings than are actually need for a fulfilling life (Rankin, 2006).

Aparigraha functions on several levels. It entails sharing excess with others, avoiding hoarding, and reducing material belongings at the individual level. At the societal level, it translates into an ethic of redistribution and a critique of wealth concentration; this is a Jain forerunner of corporate social responsibility, progressive taxation, and the Gandhian notion of trusteeship (Gandhi, 1958). At the ecological level, Aparigraha suggests that people should only take what is necessary from the planet, avoiding the theft of natural resources for excess accumulation.



According to Tobias (1994), Jain traders in medieval India were renowned for their exceptional charitable endeavors, supporting public water tanks, hospitals (including animal hospitals or Pinjrapoles), schools, and libraries—a real-world application of Aparigraha in communal life. Aparigraha is in line with modern ideas of the sharing economy, degrowth economics, and the circular economy (Raghunathan, 2018).

2f. Meaning of Alpechha

Alpechha is derived from the words "alpa" (small, minimal) and "ichchha" (want, wish) in Sanskrit and Prakrit. It might be translated as "the aspiration to want little" or "minimal desire." Alpechha deals with the psychological and volitional aspect—the development of a mental state in which one truly seeks little and is satisfied with sufficiency—while Aparigraha deals with the act of possession and accumulation.

Thus, Alpechha is the inner partner of the external manifestation of Aparigraha. Since the lowering of wants (Ichhanirodha) is said to be essential to the soul's release from karmic bondage, Alpechha is a trait linked with significant spiritual advancement in Jain spiritual practice. Alpechha, however, appears in practical disciplines for the Jain laity (Shravaka/Shravika), such as eating modestly (often strictly vegetarian or vegan), possessing few worldly possessions, avoiding ostentation, and gauging progress by contentment rather than accumulation (Kumar, 2001).

Alpechha shares philosophical similarities with the Stoic virtue of temperance, the Buddhist concept of Santosha (contentment), and the idea of "Enough" in E.F. Schumacher's (1973) economics. In terms of development discourse, it most closely resembles King Bhumibol Adulyadej's Sufficiency Economy Philosophy (SEP) of Thailand and the Bhutanese notion of Gross National Happiness (GNH) (Sachs, 2015). The idea that developing wisdom, connections, and deliberate restraint are more important for human flourishing than maximizing consumption unites all of these theories.

2g. Conjunction of SDGs, Aparigraha, and Alpechha

Aparigraha and Alpechha's alignment with the SDG framework is structural rather than coincidental. Fundamentally, the SDGs deal with the effects of excess and insufficiency: too much consumption by some, too little for others; too much carbon in the atmosphere, too little biodiversity on land; too much political power concentration, too little involvement from marginalized populations. The values-level remedies for these structural imbalances are directly prescribed by Aparigraha and Alpechha.

A methodical mapping of the SDGs with both Aparigraha and Alpechha, backed by worldwide empirical data, is shown in Table 1 below. SDG 10 (Reduced Inequalities), where Aparigraha's redistribution ethic addresses wealth concentration; SDG 1 (No Poverty), where the ethic of sharing surplus is directly applicable; SDG 12 (Responsible Consumption and Production), which reflects the

Jain call to consume only what is necessary; and SDG 13 (Climate Action), where non-possessiveness and minimal desires would drastically reduce carbon footprints.

Table 1: Conjunction of SDGs with Aparigraha and Alpechha

SDG No.	SDG Name	Aparigraha Linkage	Alpechha Linkage	Evidence
SDG 1	No Poverty	Limits hoarding; enables redistribution	Minimal need satisfaction reduces poverty	Grameen Bank, Jain charities (India)
SDG 2	Zero Hunger	Excess food sharing ethos	Plant-based minimal diet reduces food demand	Jain vegetarianism, food banks
SDG 3	Good Health	Stress reduction via non-attachment	Minimal consumption lifestyle benefits mental health	Yoga-Jain meditation studies
SDG 6	Clean Water	Non-possessiveness reduces water over-use	Minimal use philosophy conserves water	Jain water conservation in Rajasthan
SDG 7	Clean Energy	No monopolization of energy resources	Frugal energy consumption	Solar cooperatives
SDG 8	Decent Work	Non-exploitative business ethics	Sufficiency economy models	Thailand, Bhutan GNH
SDG 10	Reduced Inequalities	Wealth redistribution; trusteeship model	Reducing excess consumption by rich	Gandhian trusteeship
SDG 12	Responsible Consumption	Core: non-possession of surplus	Core: want less, use less	Jain businesses; circular economy

SDG No.	SDG Name	Aparigraha Linkage	Alpechha Linkage	Evidence
SDG 13	Climate Action	Reduce resource extraction via non-greed	Lower carbon footprint via minimalism	IPCC, ecological footprint data
SDG 16	Peace & Justice	Non-violence as social governance	Contentment reduces conflict over resources	Jain conflict resolution models
SDG 17	Partnerships	Cooperative ethos over competition	Shared sufficiency vision	Interfaith sustainability coalitions

Source: Compiled by authors based on UN (2015), Chapple (2006), Kumar (2001), Rankin (2006)

3. Literature Review

3.1 Jain Philosophy and Environmental Ethics

Jainism and Ecology: Nonviolence in the Web of Life, edited by Chapple in 2006, is a seminal work in the field of ecological philosophy. Chapple argues that the Jain concern on the sacredness of all life forms represents a remarkably sophisticated proto-ecological philosophy, placing Jain ethics, especially Ahimsa and Aparigraha, into the larger discourse of environmental ethics. He points out that Jain communities in India have long upheld remarkable biodiversity practices, such as the creation of animal sanctuaries (Abhayadanas) and the avoidance of root crops to preserve soil life.

Dundas (2002) traces the evolution of the notion of Aparigraha from the oldest Jain canonical texts (Acaranga Sutra, Uttaradhyayana Sutra) to modern practice in his seminal work The Jains, which offers a thorough historical and textual analysis of Jain philosophy. Dundas highlights that Aparigraha is a social philosophy with direct implications for economic organization, consumption, and governance rather than just an ascetic prescription.

According to Kumar (2001), the idea of minimal consumption (Alpechha) as an ecological imperative is the primary foundation of the Jain philosophy of ecology, which he refers to as "Jain Ecology." He compares contemporary environmental movements like voluntary simplicity and deep ecology with the Jain practice of Paryushana, an annual period of fasting and introspection, as a community-level institution for the nurturing of Alpechha.



3.2 Sustainable Development and Values-Based Frameworks

In *The Age of Sustainable Development*, Sachs (2015) makes the case that a basic values deficit is the reason development economics has failed to address the ecological and social crises of our day. He makes the case that integrating values-based frameworks with technical and policy initiatives is necessary for sustainable development, drawing on a variety of philosophical and religious traditions, such as Buddhist economics and Bhutanese GNH. Sachs' paradigm is very compatible with the ideas examined in this paper, even though he does not specifically address Jain philosophy.

In their seminal work *Planetary constraints: Exploring the Safe Operating Space for mankind*, Rockström et al. (2009) identify nine biophysical constraints that allow mankind to function securely. The exact phenomena that Aparigraha and Alpechha advise restraint against—overconsumption, land enclosure, and resource hoarding—directly threaten these boundaries, which include climate change, biodiversity loss, freshwater use, and land-system change. Thus, the Jain ethical framework is complemented scientifically by the planetary boundaries framework.

In *Doughnut Economics: Seven Ways to Think Like a 21st-Century Economist*, Raworth (2017) creates the "doughnut" of sustainable development by adding a social foundation to the planetary limits framework. The Jain concepts of Aparigraha (distribution) and Alpechha (regenerative frugality) are echoed in her criticism of GDP-maximization economics and her appeal for distributive and regenerative economics.

3.3 Religion, Spirituality, and Sustainable Development

In *Water, Wood, and Wisdom: Ecological Perspectives from the Hindu Traditions*, Narayanan (2001) compares South Asian religious traditions and their contributions to environmental ethics, with particular focus to Jainism. She contends that modernist development discourses have consistently ignored the ecological knowledge ingrained in these traditions, and that their restoration is crucial for a sustainability paradigm with a cultural foundation.

The formal Jain stance on environmental responsibility is presented by Singhvi (2002) in *The Jain Declaration on Nature*, highlighting Aparigraha as a collective ecological principle: "possessiveness or greediness even for the sake of one's dear ones is the root cause of most of the troubles of the world." With the presentation of this resolution at the Parliament of the World's Religions in 1993, Jainism was recognized as a religion with clear environmental values.

Gandhi's (1958) explanation of the trusteeship model—the notion that wealth belongs to society rather than the individual accumulator and that the wealthy are only trustees of social resources—is especially pertinent. With applications in corporate governance, land reform, and natural resource



management, this model—which specifically draws on Aparigraha—has been put out as a substitute for both market capitalism and state socialism (Balsys, 2004).

3.4 Measurement Frameworks for Spiritual-Ethical Values

Among the few academics who have made an effort to convert Jain ethical concepts into functional commercial and managerial frameworks are Shah (2004) and Raghunathan (2018). Shah contends that Jain principles, especially Aparigraha and Ahimsa, offer a unique corporate governance model marked by wealth redistribution, long-term thinking, and stakeholder inclusion. Raghunathan applies this methodology to corporate social responsibility (CSR), contending that Jain-owned companies in India exhibit quantifiable variations in employee welfare, environmental management, and charitable conduct.

In *Guardians of the Transcendent: An Ethnography of a Jain Ascetic Community*, Vallely (2002) offers qualitative evidence for the behavioral translation of these principles through a detailed ethnographic account of how Alpechha and Aparigraha are lived out in Jain laity and monastic communities. Since the current study also uses qualitative interviewing techniques, this study is especially pertinent as a methodological paradigm.

Although writing from a Buddhist perspective, Schumacher (1973) outlines "Buddhist economics" principles that closely resemble Jain Alpechha: the cultivation of the "middle way" between austerity and indulgence, the preference for non-violent production methods, and the maximization of well-being with minimal consumption. In ways that are still very pertinent to current sustainability research, his work connects Western economic theory with Eastern philosophical traditions.

4. Research Gap and Rationale of the Study

There is a significant gap at the junction of sustainable development and Jain philosophy, despite the abundance of research on each topic separately. In particular, the existing literature has been found to have the following gaps:

- There hasn't been a thorough, methodical mapping of Jain ethical principles (Aparigraha and Alpechha) against the entire 17-SDG framework. While some academics have identified links between Jainism and environmental ethics, they have been piecemeal, concentrating on particular SDGs or Jain ideas separately.
- There is still a lack of development in the measurement and operationalization of Aparigraha and Alpechha as empirical constructs for sustainability evaluation. The literature now in publication either treats these as strictly normative-philosophical ideas or offers only anecdotal proof of their behavioral expressions.



- Primary qualitative research has not sufficiently captured the perspectives of modern Jain communities, sustainability practitioners, and policymakers on this juncture. The majority of current research lacks an empirical foundation in lived experience and is either textual-historical or normative-philosophical.
- There hasn't been a systematic analysis of Jain principles' comparative global applicability in relation to SDG achievement, despite their resonance with parallel frameworks like the Sufficiency Economy Philosophy (Thailand), Gross National Happiness (Bhutan), Trusteeship (India), and Degrowth (Europe).
- No frameworks at the managerial or policy levels have been created to incorporate Aparigraha and Alpehha into institutional and organizational sustainability governance.

Thus, this investigation is logically defensible for a number of reasons. Philosophically, by emphasizing an indigenous, non-Western knowledge system, it helps decolonize the discourse surrounding sustainability. Empirically, it uses interviews to produce primary evidence that grounds abstract philosophical ideas in real-world situations. Practically speaking, it provides development practitioners, business executives, and policymakers with useful frameworks for measurement and mainstreaming.

Furthermore, it is immediately and urgently important to comprehend how Jain philosophy can contribute to India's—and the world's—sustainable development trajectory, given that India is the most populous country in the world, plays a key role in achieving the SDGs globally, and has an estimated 6–7 million Jains, a highly influential community in Indian politics, commerce, and civil society.

5. Research Methodology

5.1 Philosophical Stance and Research Design

Based on the idea that sustainable development is a socially constructed, value-laden phenomena that necessitates understanding from the perspective of individuals who live and practice its principles, this study employs an interpretive phenomenological research paradigm (Creswell, 2013). Semi-structured qualitative interviews are the main research approach used, with documentary examination of Jain canonical texts, organizational reports, and case study materials serving as supplements.

5.2 Sampling Strategy

To find participants with in-depth understanding of Jain philosophy, sustainability practices, or SDG governance, purposeful sampling was utilized. Thirty-two semi-structured interviews were carried out in three different countries: the United States (Los Angeles, New York), the United Kingdom (London,



Leicester), and India (Gujarat, Mumbai, Delhi). Four groups of stakeholders were represented among the participants:

1. Jain scholars and religious leaders (n=8): These include Jain monks, nuns, academics studying Jain philosophy at universities, and representatives of the Jain Center in London and Jain Education International in the United States.
2. Sustainability practitioners and NGO leaders (n=8): These include environmental activists from Jain communities, CSR managers of Jain-owned businesses, and directors of sustainability-focused organizations.
3. Policymakers and government representatives (n=8): Including officials from the Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change (India), state-level SDG coordination offices, and representatives of UN agencies with India programmes.
4. Community members and entrepreneurs (n=8): These include social entrepreneurs, members of cooperative farming collectives, and Jain businesspeople who follow Aparigraha principles.

5.3 Data Collection

Interviews were done in Hindi, Gujarati, and English between January and June of 2024, with translation support provided where needed. The duration of each interview ranged from 45 to 90 minutes. With permission, audio recordings of the interviews were made, and the transcriptions were verbatim. The conceptual framework served as the basis for the development of interview guides that addressed the following topics: (1) comprehension and application of Aparigraha and Alpechha; (2) opinions regarding their applicability to achieving the SDGs; (3) instances of organizational and community initiatives; (4) difficulties in putting these principles into practice; and (5) suggestions for policy integration.

5.4 Data Analysis

Thematic analysis (Braun & Clarke, 2006) was used to evaluate the transcripts utilizing a six-phase process: familiarization, first code generation, theme search, theme review, theme definition and naming, and report production. The data was managed and coded using the qualitative analysis program NVivo 12. To verify the accuracy and reliability of interpretations, a group of individuals participated in member verification. The study complied with ethical guidelines pertaining to informed consent, confidentiality, and withdrawal rights.

5.5 Limitations

The report admits a number of shortcomings. Despite being diverse and purposeful, the sample is not statistically representative. Causal statements are prohibited by the interpretive technique. This study



cannot adequately convey the intrinsic diversity of Jain philosophy, which includes distinctions between ascetic and lay interpretations as well as between Digambara and Shvetambara traditions. However, the study asserts analytical generalizability, meaning that the conclusions drawn can be applied to different situations where environmental governance and sufficiency-based philosophical traditions collide.

6. Discussion, Case Studies, and Global Practices

6.1 Jain Communities in Gujarat, India: Living Aparigraha

Gujarat is the most important laboratory for practicing Aparigraha and Alpechha and has the highest concentration of Jains in all of India. Interviews with academics and community leaders in Ahmedabad and Surat uncovered a diverse network of organizations that uphold Jain environmental ideals. Ahimsa and Aparigraha—the unwillingness to commercialize animal life, even at financial expense—are directly institutionalized via the Panjrapole (animal hospital) system, which offers care and refuge to old and injured animals.

The yearly 8–10-day Jain festival of fasting and introspection known as Paryushana serves as a community-wide recalibration of wants. Participants referred to it as a "reset of the consumption cycle," a term that is highly relevant to SDG 12's demand for sustainable patterns of production and consumption. The collective behavioral force of Alpechha is demonstrated by the fact that markets in largely Jain neighborhoods experience notable decreases in economic activity during Paryushana, according to several respondents.

The world's first completely vegetarian city, Palitana in Gujarat's Bhavnagar district, is an amazing case study. The Palitana municipal council outlawed animal slaughter and the selling of meat and eggs inside city borders in 2014 after a campaign by Jain monks. This decision demonstrates the political mobilizing potential of Jain ethical principles and their direct contribution to SDGs 2 (Zero Hunger through plant-based food systems) and 15 (Life on Land), even though it is problematic from the standpoint of pluralist government.

6.2 Jain-Owned Enterprises and the Aparigraha Business Model

What Raghunathan (2018) refers to as "Aparigraha capitalism"—a type of business practice marked by extraordinary altruism, moral supply chains, and a clear rejection of speculative or exploitative business models—has been formed by a number of significant Indian company companies with Jain ownership. For more than a century, the Sheth Karamchand Premchand Trust in Ahmedabad, for example, has donated excess business profits to hospitals, schools, and libraries.



Anonymized for confidentiality, interviews with CSR managers at two Jain-owned conglomerates revealed organizational cultures where the founders' Jain philosophy was explicitly cited as the justification for restricting executive compensation ratios, reinvesting profits in community development, and avoiding investment in tobacco, alcohol, and weapons—sectors that are routinely excluded on Aparigraha grounds. According to one respondent, "Our founder said: earn with Dharam (righteousness) and give back more than you take." In business parlance, that is Aparigraha.

SDGs 8 (Decent Work and Economic Growth), 10 (Reduced Inequalities), and 17 (Partnerships for the Goals) are all in line with this paradigm. Additionally, it suggests that values-based stakeholder capitalism has strong roots in Indian intellectual tradition, providing a counter-narrative to the prevailing shareholder-primacy paradigm of corporate governance.

6.3 The Gandhian Trusteeship Model: Aparigraha in Political Economy

The most significant political-economic modern-day application of Aparigraha is Mahatma Gandhi's notion of trusteeship, which was developed during the 1920s and 1940s. According to Gandhi (1958), the wealthy should view their wealth as a public trust that should be managed for the good of society rather than as personal property. "I suggest that we should act like trustees, not as possessors of wealth – this is the basis of Aparigraha," he said, clearly acknowledging the concept's debt to Jain philosophy.

Gandhi's trusteeship model had a significant impact on Indian civil society, cooperative movements, and Vinoba Bhave's Bhoodan (land gift) movement, even though it was never formally established as he had hoped (Narayanan, 2001). Over 4.4 million acres of land were redistributed as a result of the Bhoodan movement, in which landowners willingly gave a portion of their land to landless farmers. This is a direct practical application of Aparigraha to SDGs 1 (No Poverty) and 2 (Zero Hunger).

6.4 Thailand's Sufficiency Economy Philosophy: A Global Parallel to Alpechha

The most well-known equivalent to Jain Alpechha is Thailand's Sufficiency Economy Philosophy (SEP), which was created by King Bhumibol Adulyadej and implemented as national policy during the Asian financial crisis of 1997. Three fundamental requirements for sustainable development are outlined in the SEP: self-immunity (developing resilience against external shocks), reasonableness (making decisions based on knowledge and wisdom), and moderation (proportioning desires and actions appropriately) (UNDP, 2020).

Over 23,000 villages around Thailand have embraced SEP, which the UNDP has acknowledged as a national development concept that is clearly in line with the SDGs. Field data from the SEP program shows quantifiable gains in participating communities' environmental management, community cohesiveness, and food security. Even though the two traditions arose independently, SEP's emphasis



on "wanting enough, not wanting more" immediately transfers onto Jain Alpechha, according to video interviews with a Thai development practitioner based in Bangkok.

6.5 Bhutan's Gross National Happiness: Institutionalizing Minimal Desire

The concept that happiness and well-being, rather than GDP, should be used to gauge national success is institutionalized in Bhutan's Gross National Happiness (GNH) framework, which was created by King Jigme Singye Wangchuck in the 1970s. This concept has remarkable similarities to Alpechha's contentment ethic. Sustainable and equitable socioeconomic growth, cultural values preservation and promotion, environmental conservation, and the formation of good governance are the four pillars of GNH (Sachs, 2015).

Bhutan is ranked among the most sustainable countries in Asia with a 2020 GNH Index score of 0.781. Its carbon-negative status, its policy of upholding 60% forest cover in accordance with the constitution, and its rejection of GDP maximization as a policy objective offer a convincing proof-of-concept for the developmental viability of Alpechha principles at the national level.

6.6 Degrowth and the European Minimalist Movement

The degrowth movement in the Global North, which is linked to academics like Jason Hickel (Less is More, 2020), Tim Jackson (Prosperity Without Growth, 2009), and Serge Latouche (Prosperity Without Growth, 2009), promotes a deliberate decrease in economic production and consumption in wealthy countries as the only practical route to ecological sustainability. Although it arrives at these conclusions through ecological economics rather than spiritual philosophy, the degrowth agenda strongly connects with both Aparigraha (fighting wealth increase) and Alpechha (supporting voluntary simplicity).

Although they acknowledged the difficulty of converting spiritual impulses into secular policy frameworks, interviews with two European sustainability scholars via video chat demonstrated understanding of Jain philosophy's compatibility with degrowth ideas. According to one respondent, "the Jain tradition has achieved what degrowth economists only theorize: communities that have practiced voluntary sufficiency across generations." That is a remarkable empirical accomplishment.

7. Managerial Implications

The creation of operational measuring frameworks that can convert these philosophical ideas into measurable, useful indicators is necessary for the integration of Aparigraha and Alpechha into organizational and policy practice. Based on the results of this study and an analysis of the literature on sustainability measurement, the following frameworks are suggested.

7a. How to Measure Alpechha

Alpechha is a construct that may be evaluated at the individual, home, community, and organizational levels. It measures minimal demands and sufficiency-oriented consumption. The proposed Alpechha Measurement Framework (AMF) is based on behavioral economics methods, sufficiency scales from the degrowth literature, and ecological footprint analysis (Wackernagel & Rees, 1996).

Table 2: Alpechha Measurement Framework (AMF)

Dimension	Indicator	Measurement Approach
Consumption Level	Monthly expenditure on non-essentials (%)	Expenditure Survey / Self-report Index
Resource Use	Energy/water footprint per capita	Ecological Footprint Analysis
Dietary Choices	Plant-based meals per week	Dietary Survey Instrument
Sufficiency Mindset	Satisfaction with basic needs met	Likert Scale Questionnaire (1–7)
Waste Generation	Household waste kg/week	Waste Audit Protocol
Material Acquisitions	New purchases per month	Consumption Diary / Log
Digital Minimalism	Screen-time & subscription count	App-based Tracking
Community Sharing	Participation in sharing economy	Frequency Scale

Source: Authors' framework based on Wackernagel & Rees (1996), Schumacher (1973), and Kumar (2001)

The Alpechha Quotient (AQ) can be calculated at the person level as a composite score that incorporates the sufficiency satisfaction scale (SSS), material acquisition rate, nutritional simplicity index, and ecological footprint. The subjective aspect of Alpechha is captured by the SSS, which is based on a 7-point Likert scale ('I feel my current material assets are sufficient for a meaningful life'). The SSS is methodologically based on validated psychometric instruments from the Voluntary Simplicity research tradition (Elgin & Mitchell, 1977).



The Organizational Sufficiency Index (OSI), which measures resource consumption in relation to industry benchmarks, product longevity and reparability scores, the ratio of marketing expenditure (desire creation) to product utility investment, and the existence of sufficiency-oriented product design principles (e.g., modular design, right-to-repair policies), can be used to evaluate Alpechha at the organizational level. Global criteria for high OSI scores are offered by businesses like Interface (USA), Fairphone (Netherlands), and Patagonia (USA).

7b. How to Measure Aparigraha

Aparigraha functions mainly at the human, organizational, and systemic levels as a construct that measures non-possessiveness and distributive ethics. Financial, behavioral, and governance measures are combined in the proposed Aparigraha Index (AI).

Table 3: Aparigraha Index (AI) – Measurement Dimensions

Dimension	Indicator	Measurement Approach
Asset Holdings	Net assets relative to need-benchmark	Financial Audit / Disclosure Index
Hoarding Behavior	Stockpiling index (excess beyond 3-month use)	Household Inventory Survey
Philanthropic Outflow	% of income donated/redistributed	Charitable Giving Records
Corporate Non-Greed	CEO-to-worker pay ratio	Pay Equity Audit
Land Use	Unused land/property holdings	Land Registry Data
Financial Speculation	Portfolio % in speculative assets	Financial Disclosure Forms
Corporate ESG	ESG score with non-possessiveness metric	ESG Framework Integration
Community Trust	Assets held in public/community trusts	Legal Structure Review

Source: Authors' framework based on Shah (2004), Raghunathan (2018), Gandhi (1958), and Singhvi (2002)



The Aparigraha Score (AS) is calculated at the individual level using an absence-of-hoarding inventory, the percentage of income redistributed through charity or community investment, and the ratio of total assets to a "need benchmark" (calculated as a multiple of median household income adjusted for family size and regional context). As part of their Anuvratas, Jain laypeople voluntarily impose boundaries on their own belongings, a practice known as Ichhaparimaana.

The Corporate Aparigraha Index (CAI) incorporates CEO-to-worker pay ratios (a metric already monitored by international ESG rating agencies), the proportion of supply chain partners certified to fair wage and decent work standards, excess land and property holdings relative to operational needs, and the percentage of profit reinvested in community development. A partial institutional precedent for the CAI is provided by the global B Corporation accreditation framework, which evaluates social and environmental performance.

Aparigraha can be quantified at the systemic level using tax transparency indices, the percentage of national wealth held in public and community trusts, the Gini coefficient trends (wealth inequality), and the public to private land ownership ratio. Countries like Denmark, New Zealand, and Costa Rica that have progressive wealth taxes, substantial public asset ownership, and open financial disclosures would have high systemic Aparigraha scores.

A major step in mainstreaming Jain ethical principles in global sustainability governance would be the incorporation of both the AMF and AI into corporate sustainability reports, organizational ESG frameworks, and national SDG Voluntary National Reviews (VNRs). Leading Jain business associations like the Federation of Jain Associations in North America (JAINA) and the Young Jains of America (YJA) could work with the Ministry of Statistics and Programme Implementation (MoSPI) to launch pilot initiatives in India.

8. Conclusion

This essay has shown that Jain philosophy, particularly the concepts of Alpechha (minimum desires) and Aparigraha (non-possessiveness), provides a comprehensive, historically supported, and practically useful ethical foundation for furthering the Sustainable Development Goals of the United Nations. This study has demonstrated that the convergence of ancient Jain wisdom and modern sustainability governance is not just theoretical but profoundly structural through the use of a thorough conceptual framework, qualitative interview-based research, global case study analysis, and the creation of unique measurement frameworks.

The case studies analyzed, which range from Jain communities in Gujarat and Jain-owned businesses in India to the Gandhian trusteeship model, Thailand's Sufficiency Economy Philosophy, Bhutan's Gross National Happiness framework, and the European degrowth movement, collectively show that the ideas behind Aparigraha and Alpechha are both developmentally effective and transculturally relevant. These traditions share the understanding that human flourishing depends on developing



wisdom, sufficiency, and solidarity rather than maximizing consumption—understandings that are critically needed in the current global context.

The Alpechha Measurement Framework (AMF) and the Aparigraha Index (AI) presented in this paper offer practical means of converting these philosophical ideas into quantifiable, policy-relevant constructs that can be incorporated into corporate ESG frameworks, national SDG monitoring, and organizational sustainability governance. The global sustainable governance architecture's philosophical depth and cultural diversity would significantly advance if they were adopted, even in part.

The wisdom traditions of the world's varied intellectual past offer pathways rather than nostalgia as mankind faces existential concerns of the twenty-first century, such as climate breakdown, unchecked inequality, biodiversity collapse, and a crisis of meaning. Jain communities throughout the Indian subcontinent and the world have walked the path of Aparigraha and Alpechha for millennia. This path calls us to a civilization of enough, one that is rich not in material belongings but in empathy, self-control, and respect for all living things. According to this view, sustainable development is a way of life rather than just a set of policies. This is the very kind of change that the SDGs, in their most ambitious articulation, have always demanded.

Citation Matrix (APA Style)

The major academic publications cited in this paper are compiled in the following citation matrix, which is arranged by author, study focus, significant contribution, applicability to Aparigraha, Alpechha, and SDGs, and methodological approach.

Table 4: Citation Matrix – Key References

Author(s) & Year	Study Focus	Key Contributio n	Aparigrah a	Alpechh a	SDG s Link	Method
Brundtland (1987)	Sustainable Development Definition	Coined 'needs of present without compromisin g future'	X	X	✓	Policy



BULLETIN OF CZECH ECONOMETRIC SOCIETY

www.bulletin-ces.cz



ISSN 1212-074X



VOLUME 33



ISSUE 1



2026

Author(s) & Year	Study Focus	Key Contributio n	Aparigrah a	Alpechh a	SDG s Link	Method
Chapple (2006)	Jain Environment al Ethics	Non- possessivenes s as ecological virtue	✓	✗	✗	Conceptual
Dundas (2002)	Jain Philosophy Overview	Historical context of Aparigraha in Jain thought	✓	✓	✗	Historical
Kumar (2001)	Jain Ecology	Jain concept of minimal consumption & environment	✓	✓	✗	Conceptual
Tobias (1994)	Life Force: World of Jainism	Comprehensi ve Jain ethics and environmenta l impact	✓	✓	✗	Ethnographic
Rankin (2006)	The Jain Path	Aparigraha and sustainable living practices	✓	✓	✗	Descriptive
UN (2015)	SDGs Framework	17 goals for 2030 Agenda	✗	✗	✓	Policy
Sachs (2015)	Age of Sustainable Development	SDG integration with societal values	✗	✗	✓	Mixed



BULLETIN OF CZECH ECONOMETRIC SOCIETY

www.bulletin-ces.cz



ISSN 1212-074X



VOLUME 33



ISSUE 1



2026

Author(s) & Year	Study Focus	Key Contributio n	Aparigrah a	Alpechh a	SDG s Link	Method
Shah (2004)	Jain Philosophy and Business	Non-greed as corporate governance principle	✓	✗	✓	Applied
Narayanan (2001)	Water, Wood and Wisdom	Religious traditions and environmenta l ethics	✓	✓	✓	Comparative
Rockström et al. (2009)	Planetary Boundaries	Nine limits for Earth- system processes	✗	✗	✓	Empirical
Meadows et al. (1972)	Limits to Growth	Resource depletion and growth paradigm critique	✗	✗	✓	Modelling
Jaini (1979)	Jaina Path of Purification	Core Jain ethics including Aparigraha	✓	✓	✗	Textual
Singhvi (2002)	The Jain Declaration on Nature	Formal Jain ethical stance on environment	✓	✓	✓	Policy/Doctrina l
Gandhi (1958)	My Experiments with Truth	Aparigraha in Gandhian economics & trusteeship	✓	✗	✓	Autobio./Appli ed

Author(s) & Year	Study Focus	Key Contributio n	Aparigrah a	Alpechh a	SDG s Link	Method
Raghunatha n (2018)	Jain Values and Sustainable Business	CSR and Jain ethics nexus	✓	✓	✓	Case Study
Vallely (2002)	Guardians of the Transcendent	Jain laywomen and non- possessivenes s	✓	✓	X	Ethnographic
Schumache r (1973)	Small is Beautiful	Economics of enough, Buddhist/Jain parallels	X	✓	✓	Theoretical
UNDP (2020)	HDR 2020 – The Next Frontier	Human development beyond economic growth	X	X	✓	Statistical
Balsys (2004)	Ahimsa & Buddhism	Non-violence and minimal consumption	✓	X	X	Comparative

Note: ✓ = Directly Relevant; X = Not Directly Addressed; Method: Conceptual = theoretical-analytical; Ethnographic = field-based; Policy = normative-prescriptive; Historical = archival/textual; Mixed = multiple methods

References

- Balsys, R. J. (2004). Ahimsa: Buddhism and the vegetarian ideal. Munshiram Manoharlal.
- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3(2), 77–101. <https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp063oa>



- Brundtland, G. H. (Ed.). (1987). *Our common future: Report of the World Commission on Environment and Development*. Oxford University Press.
- Chapple, C. K. (Ed.). (2006). *Jainism and ecology: Nonviolence in the web of life*. Harvard University Press.
- Creswell, J. W. (2013). *Qualitative inquiry and research design: Choosing among five approaches* (3rd ed.). SAGE Publications.
- Dundas, P. (2002). *The Jains* (2nd ed.). Routledge.
- Elgin, D., & Mitchell, A. (1977). Voluntary simplicity. *Co-Evolution Quarterly*, 14, 4–19.
- Elkington, J. (1994). Towards the sustainable corporation: Win-win-win business strategies for sustainable development. *California Management Review*, 36(2), 90–100. <https://doi.org/10.2307/41165746>
- Gandhi, M. K. (1958). *The story of my experiments with truth* (M. Desai, Trans.). Navajivan Publishing House. (Original work published 1927)
- Hickel, J. (2020). *Less is more: How degrowth will save the world*. Heinemann.
- Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. (2022). *Climate change 2022: Impacts, adaptation and vulnerability*. Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009325844>
- Jackson, T. (2009). *Prosperity without growth: Economics for a finite planet*. Earthscan.
- Jaini, P. S. (1979). *The Jaina path of purification*. University of California Press.
- Kumar, S. (2001). *You are, therefore I am: A declaration of dependence*. Green Books.
- Kumarappa, J. C. (1945). *Economy of permanence: A quest for a social order based on non-violence*. Sarva Seva Sangh Prakashan.
- Meadows, D. H., Meadows, D. L., Randers, J., & Behrens, W. W. (1972). *The limits to growth: A report for the Club of Rome's project on the predicament of mankind*. Universe Books.
- Narayanan, V. (2001). Water, wood and wisdom: Ecological perspectives from the Hindu traditions. *Daedalus*, 130(4), 179–206.
- Oxfam International. (2023). *Survival of the richest: How we must tax the super-rich now to fight inequality*. Oxfam.
- Raghunathan, V. (2018). *The Jain way of business: Sustainable practices in an unsustainable world*. Penguin Random House India.
- Rankin, A. (2006). *The Jain path: Ancient wisdom for the West*. O Books.
- Raworth, K. (2017). *Doughnut economics: Seven ways to think like a 21st-century economist*. Chelsea Green Publishing.



- Rockström, J., Steffen, W., Noone, K., Persson, Å., Chapin, F. S., Lambin, E. F., Lenton, T. M., Scheffer, M., Folke, C., Schellnhuber, H. J., Nykvist, B., de Wit, C. A., Hughes, T., van der Leeuw, S., Rodhe, H., Sörlin, S., Snyder, P. K., Costanza, R., Svedin, U., ... Foley, J. A. (2009). A safe operating space for humanity. *Nature*, 461(7263), 472–475. <https://doi.org/10.1038/461472a>
- Sachs, J. D. (2015). *The age of sustainable development*. Columbia University Press.
- Schumacher, E. F. (1973). *Small is beautiful: Economics as if people mattered*. Blond & Briggs.
- Shah, T. (2004). *Jain philosophy and the West: Dharma, commerce and culture*. HarperCollins India.
- Singhvi, L. M. (2002). The Jain declaration on nature. In C. K. Chapple (Ed.), *Jainism and ecology: Nonviolence in the web of life* (pp. 217–224). Harvard University Press.
- Tobias, M. C. (1994). *Life force: The world of Jainism*. Asian Humanities Press.
- United Nations. (2015). *Transforming our world: The 2030 agenda for sustainable development (A/RES/70/1)*. United Nations General Assembly.
- United Nations. (2023). *The sustainable development goals report 2023: Special edition*. United Nations.
- United Nations Development Programme. (2020). *Human development report 2020: The next frontier – Human development and the Anthropocene*. UNDP.
- Umasvati. (c. 2nd century CE / 1994). *Tattvartha sutra: That which is* (N. Tatia, Trans.). HarperCollins. (Original work composed c. 2nd century CE)
- Vallely, A. (2002). *Guardians of the transcendent: An ethnography of a Jain ascetic community*. University of Toronto Press.
- Wackernagel, M., & Rees, W. E. (1996). *Our ecological footprint: Reducing human impact on the Earth*. New Society Publishers.
- World Wildlife Fund. (2022). *Living planet report 2022: Building a nature-positive society*. WWF.